



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FENWICK & WEST LLP SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041

COPY MAILED

AUG 2 2 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Bart F. Rice Application No. 09/759,425 Filed: January 12, 2001 Attorney Docket No. 18721-5695

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the above-identified application, filed May 29, 2007.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to pay the issue fee on or before February 15, 2007. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on March 28, 2007. In response, on May 29, 2007, the present petition was filed.

It is noted that the petition is not signed by an attorney of record. However, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.34(a), the signature of Calvin E. Wells appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he is authorized to represent the particular party on whose behalf he acts. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to petitioner. If Mr. Wells desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, then the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. All future correspondence regarding this application file will be directed solely to the address of record.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that the petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of payment of the issue fee of \$1,400 and the publication fee of \$300; (2) the petition fee of \$1,500; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay.

Telephone inquires related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. Telephone inquiries related to processing at Publishing Division should be directed to (571) 272-4200.

Sherry D. Brinkley Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions

³⁷ CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. While the statement is not made by an attorney of record, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

cc: CALVIN E. WELLS 17933 NW EVERGREEN PKWAY., STE 250 BEAVERTON, OR 97006